Simvastatin Decreases Lipopolysaccharide-induced Pulmonary Inflammation in Healthy Volunteers
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Rationale: Simvastatin inhibits inflammatory responses in vitro and in murine models of lung inflammation in vivo. As simvastatin modulates a number of the underlying processes described in acute lung injury (ALI), it may be a potential therapeutic option.

Objectives: To investigate in vivo if simvastatin modulates mechanisms important in the development of ALI in a model of acute lung inflammation induced by inhalation of lipopolysaccharide (LPS) in healthy human volunteers.

Methods: Thirty healthy subjects were enrolled in a double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Subjects were randomized to receive 40 mg or 80 mg of simvastatin or placebo (n = 10/group) for 4 days before inhalation of 50 µg LPS. Measurements were performed in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF) obtained at 6 hours and plasma obtained at 24 hours after LPS challenge. Nuclear translocation of nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB) was measured in monocyte-derived macrophages.

Measurements and Main Results: Pretreatment with simvastatin reduced LPS-induced BALF neutrophilia, myeloperoxidase, tumor necrosis factor-α, matrix metalloproteinases 7, 8, and 9, and C-reactive protein (CRP) as well as plasma CRP (all P < 0.05 vs. placebo). There was no significant difference between simvastatin 40 mg and 80 mg. BALF from subjects post-LPS inhalation induced a threefold up-regulation in nuclear NF-κB in monocyte-derived macrophages (P < 0.001); pretreatment with simvastatin reduced this by 35% (P < 0.001).

Conclusions: Simvastatin has antiinflammatory effects in the pulmonary and systemic compartment in humans exposed to inhaled LPS.

Clinical trial registered with www.controlled-trials.com (ISRCTN21056528).
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The development of acute lung injury (ALI) is characterized by macrophage-mediated (1) and neutrophil-mediated (2) injury associated with the release of inflammatory cytokines and proteases, particularly matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) (3). MMPs are inhibited in vivo by the tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinas (TIMPs) and the balance between MMPs and their inhibitors is crucial in determining pathological outcomes (4).

Scientific Knowledge on the Subject

Pharmacologic therapies have not proven to be effective for acute lung injury (ALI). Experimental data suggest simvastatin has potential as a treatment for ALI. It is unknown if simvastatin reduces pulmonary inflammation in vivo in humans.

What This Study Adds to the Field

Simvastatin pretreatment has antiinflammatory effects in the pulmonary compartment in a model of ALI induced by inhaled lipopolysaccharide in humans.

The uncontrolled local inflammatory response in ALI contributes to alveolar epithelial and capillary endothelial barrier damage, exudation of protein-rich edema fluid into the alveolar space leading to the development of noncardiogenic pulmonary edema (5). An associated excessive systemic inflammatory response is implicated in the development of multiple organ failure related to ALI (6).

Hydroxyl-methylglutaryl coenzyme A reductase inhibition with statins is a promising potential new therapeutic option in ALI as they modulate a number of the underlying processes described in ALI. Plasma TNF-α concentrations fall with simvastatin therapy in patients with hypercholesterolemia and coronary artery disease (7). In vitro statins reduce macrophage MMP production (8, 9). Simvastatin reduces endothelial permeability in vitro (10) and in an animal model of lung injury after intratracheal LPS instillation (11). In addition, statins attenuate lung injury in vivo in animal models including ischemia-reperfusion (12), peritonitis (13), and aerosolized LPS (11, 14). Most (15–17) but not all (18) observational studies have suggested a benefit of statins in patients with pneumonia, supporting a potential role in modulating pulmonary inflammation. Moreover, simvastatin, at a dose of 80 mg for 4 days, attenuated the systemic inflammatory response to intravenous lipopolysaccharide (LPS) challenge in healthy human subjects (19). However, no previous study has examined if simvastatin can reduce LPS-induced pulmonary inflammation in vivo in humans. Inhalation of low-dose LPS has been used in healthy humans as an in vivo model of pulmonary inflammation without causing adverse events. Reflecting findings in ALI, LPS inhalation results in a local subclinical alveolar and systemic inflammatory response (20–22).

The objective of the present study was to investigate if a clinically relevant dose of simvastatin reduces pulmonary and systemic inflammation induced by LPS inhalation in humans.
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Some of the results of these studies have been previously reported in the form of abstracts (23–25).

METHODS

Subjects
Thirty healthy subjects (age 25.8 ± 5.5 yr) were recruited by advertising. Screening consisted of a history and physical examination, routine blood investigation, ECG, and spirometry. Further details of the exclusion criteria are provided in the online supplement. The study was approved by the local research ethics committee, and written informed consent was obtained from all subjects before enrollment in the study.

Study Design
This was a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial. Randomization to simvastatin 40 mg, 80 mg, or placebo (1:1:1) was performed by an independent clinical trials pharmacist using Prism clinical (Prisym, Wokingham, UK). When an eligible subject was recruited, the clinical trials pharmacist allocated the subject to the designated treatment group, maintaining blinding. Blinding of the simvastatin tablets and placebo was achieved by encapsulation with a gelatin capsule. The simvastatin capsule contained the simvastatin tablet with lactose powder. The placebo capsule contained lactose powder only. Both the simvastatin and placebo study drugs had an identical appearance. Subjects took the study medication for 4 days before inhalation of LPS. On the morning of Day 4, 1 hour after the study medicine was taken under direct observation by the study team, subjects inhaled LPS.

LPS (Escherichia coli serotype O26:B6; Sigma Chemicals, Poole, Dorset, UK) was dissolved in endotoxin-free sterile 0.9% saline and inhaled via an automatic inhalation–synchronized dosimeter nebulizer (Spira, Hameenlinna, Finland) as described in the online supplement. The total dose of inhaled LPS was 50 µg. This dose has previously been demonstrated to induce a local alveolar and systemic inflammatory response (21, 22).

Blood was collected on Day 4 before the subject took the final dose of the study drug and at 24 hours after LPS inhalation. Bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) was undertaken at 6 hours after LPS inhalation and at 24 hours after LPS inhalation. Bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF) and blood were performed as described in the online supplement. The study design is summarized in Figure 1.

Assays
Plasma concentrations of simvastatin and its main active metabolite, simvastatin acid, were measured on Day 4 before the subject took the final dose of study drug, which was administered under supervision (1 h before LPS inhalation), as previously described (26). Additional assay details are provided in the online supplement. Myeloperoxidase (MPO) (27), neutrophil elastase:α-1-antitrypsin (NE:α-1-AT) complex (28), TIMPs and C-reactive protein (CRP) (R&D Systems; Abingdon, UK) were measured by ELISA. LPS concentration was measured in BALF (fluorescein isothiocyanate) (Pharmingen; Oxford, UK). Cell suspensions were then labeled with annexin V-FITC (AV) and propidium iodide (PI) (Pharmingen). Flow cytometry was performed as previously described (31). Full experimental details are provided in the online supplement.

Flow Cytometric Analysis
Cell suspensions (2.5 × 10⁵ BAL cells) were washed twice with phosphate buffered saline/1% bovine serum albumin and resuspended in the binding buffer supplied with the annexin V-FITC (fluorescein isothiocyanate) (Pharmingen; Oxford, UK). Cell suspensions were then labeled with annexin V-FITC (AV) and propidium iodide (PI) (Pharmingen). Flow cytometry was performed as previously described (31). Full experimental details are provided in the online supplement.

Nuclear Factor-κB Measurement
Monocytes were isolated from peripheral blood of healthy volunteers by centrifugation across a Ficoll-Paque gradient and adherence and matured into monocyte-derived macrophages (MDMs) as previously described (32). Full experimental details are provided in the online supplement. Cytoplasmic and nuclear extracts were prepared using a Perbio N-PER nuclear and cytoplasmic extraction kit as previously described (32), and protein content was quantified. Relative concentrations of NF-κB in 5 µg nuclear protein was measured using the TransAM NF-B p65 transcription factor assay kit (Active Motif; Rixensart, Belgium), according to the manufacturer’s instructions (32).

In Vitro Effect of Simvastatin on LPS-induced MMP Secretion
In vitro studies were performed to examine the effect of simvastatin pretreatment on LPS-induced MMP secretion by neutrophils, MDMs, and alveolar epithelial cells. Full experimental details are provided in the online supplement.

Statistical Analysis
Data are expressed as mean (SD) or median (interquartile range [IQR]). The a priori analysis plan was to compare the combined simvastatin and placebo groups. A secondary analysis to determine if there was a dose-dependent response was also planned. Data were tested for normality and analyzed by unpaired t tests or Mann-Whitney U test as appropriate. A χ² or Fisher’s exact test was used to compare proportions. Data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism (version 4.02, GraphPad Software; San Diego, CA). A P value of 0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS
There were no differences in baseline parameters, including age or sex, between the groups randomized to receive placebo or simvastatin (Table 1). There was no difference between the 40 and 80 mg simvastatin groups for any of the outcome measures. The data for the combined simvastatin group is presented. There were no significant changes in FEV₁ and FVC, oxygenation saturation measured by pulse oximetry, or vital signs over the course of the study. In the simvastatin-treated group, there was a significant reduction in mean (SD) change in plasma cholesterol concentrations compared with the placebo group in which plasma cholesterol was unchanged (−0.63 ± 0.27 mmol/L vs. 0.01 ± 0.38 mmol/L in the placebo-treated group; P = 0.0001).

Plasma concentrations of simvastatin and its main active metabolite, simvastatin acid, were detectable in all subjects...
receiving simvastatin and were below the limit of detection in subjects receiving the placebo. Mean (SD) plasma concentrations of simvastatin and simvastatin acid were 4.7 (9.5) and 1.1 (1.4) ng/ml in the simvastatin group, respectively. No severe or unexpected adverse events occurred in either group. Additional details on the procedure for adverse-event monitoring and adverse events are provided in the online supplement. LPS was detectable in BALF, confirming that LPS was delivered to the distal airspace. There was no difference in LPS concentrations between the two groups (data not shown).

**Effects of Simvastatin on LPS-induced Pulmonary Neutrophil Accumulation**

Simvastatin pretreatment reduced neutrophilia in the alveolar space after LPS inhalation ($P = 0.05$ vs. placebo). There was no significant reduction in the total cell count, macrophages, or lymphocytes (Table 2).

Simvastatin decreased MPO concentrations ($P = 0.04$ vs. placebo; Figure 2A). The neutrophil releases NE during lung inflammation. NE, which is a neutrophil serine protease, has high affinity for $\alpha$-1-AT, a serine protease inhibitor present at high concentrations in the respiratory tract. NE forms a covalent complex with $\alpha$-1-AT. Therefore, elevated NE:$\alpha$-1-AT complex levels reflect an increase in activated neutrophil numbers in the lung. Simvastatin also decreased the concentrations of NE:$\alpha$-1-AT complex although this difference did not reach statistical significance ($P = 0.07$; Figure 2B).

**Effects of Simvastatin on Pulmonary Neutrophil Apoptosis**

Pretreatment with simvastatin significantly increased the mean (SD) number of neutrophils in late apoptosis, as identified by cells labeled with annexin V-FITC (AV) and propidium iodide (PI) (AV$^+$PI$^-$), compared with placebo, (10.3% [7.3] vs. 5.0% [2.2]; $P = 0.05$ vs. placebo). There was a high incidence of early apoptosis (AV$^+$PI$^+$). Although not significant there was a small increase in the percentage of granulocytes in early apoptosis ($P = 0.7$) as well as a reduction in viable cells (AV$^-$PI$^-$) compared with placebo ($P = 0.3$). There were no significant differences in necrotic (AV$^+$PI$^+$) granulocyte numbers ($P = 0.7$; Figure 3). Data were available for 9 subjects in the placebo group and 15 subjects in the simvastatin group. Additional details are provided in the online supplement.

**Simvastatin Reduces LPS-induced TNF-\(\alpha\) Concentration**

There were no differences in mean (SD) BALF protein concentrations between placebo-treated or simvastatin-treated subjects (0.265 [0.070] vs. 0.235 [0.083] mg/ml, respectively; $P = 0.3$ vs. placebo). Simvastatin reduced TNF-\(\alpha\) concentrations in BALF after LPS challenge ($P = 0.04$; Figure 4A). Simvastatin also decreased IL-1\(\beta\) but this did not reach statistical significance ($P = 0.07$; Figure 4B). There was no significant decrease in other cytokines and chemokines measured (data not shown).

**Simvastatin Reduces LPS-induced MMP Concentration**

Simvastatin reduced MMP-7 ($P = 0.03$ vs. placebo; Figure 5A), MMP-8 ($P = 0.007$; Figure 5B) and MMP-9 ($P = 0.04$; Figure 5C) concentrations in BALF after LPS challenge. Simvastatin also decreased mean (SD) MMP-2 but this was not significant (560 [298] vs. 725 [392] pg/ml in the placebo-treated group; $P = 0.2$). Other measured MMPs were not detectable. Simvastatin did not affect median (interquartile range) TIMP-1 (4,600 [2,800–8,000] vs. 3,480 [2,800–5,780] pg/ml in the placebo-treated group; $P = 0.3$) or mean (SD) TIMP-2 (1,337 [621] vs. 1,217 [439] pg/ml in the placebo-treated group; $P = 0.5$) concentrations in BALF after LPS challenge.

In *in vitro* pretreatment with simvastatin had no effect on LPS-induced neutrophil MMP-8/MMP-9 secretion or epithelial cell MMP-7/MMP-9 secretion. In contrast, in MDMS, simvastatin significantly reduced LPS-induced MMP-9 secretion with a trend.

**Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of Volunteers in the Different Groups**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Placebo (n = 10)</th>
<th>Simvastatin (n = 20)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>25.8 (4.49)</td>
<td>25.8 (6.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, male:female, n</td>
<td>5:5</td>
<td>9:11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height, m</td>
<td>1.68 (0.08)</td>
<td>1.69 (0.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight, kg</td>
<td>74.17 (9.58)</td>
<td>68.13 (10.58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline FVC, L</td>
<td>4.58 (0.92)</td>
<td>4.27 (0.81)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Effects of Simvastatin on Lipopolysaccharide-induced Bronchoalveolar Lavage Fluid Cell Counts**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Placebo (n = 10)</th>
<th>Simvastatin (n = 20)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total cells, × 10$^9$/ml</td>
<td>15.2 (10.3–49.8)</td>
<td>10.7 (4.6–17.4)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutrophils</td>
<td>8.5 (4.4–16.2)</td>
<td>3.0 (1.8–8.1)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macrophages</td>
<td>7.0 (3.1–19.1)</td>
<td>5.1 (2.1–13.0)</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocytes</td>
<td>1.1 (0.6–3.2)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.2–1.6)</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Healthy human volunteers inhaled 50 \(\mu\)g lipopolysaccharide (LPS). Simvastatin or placebo was administered for 4 days before LPS inhalation. Total and differential cell counts were determined in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid 6 hours after inhalation of LPS.*

*Values are medians (interquartile range).*

---

**Figure 2.** Effect of simvastatin on lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-induced neutrophil recruitment. Healthy human volunteers inhaled 50 \(\mu\)g LPS. Simvastatin or placebo was administered for 4 days before LPS inhalation. Bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF) was obtained 6 hours after inhalation of LPS, and supernatants were analyzed for (A) myeloperoxidase and (B) neutrophil elastase:$\alpha$-1-antitrypsin complex (NE:$\alpha$-1-AT). *Open bars = placebo; solid bars = simvastatin. Data are shown for the 10 subjects in the placebo group and the 20 subjects in the combined simvastatin group. Data are medians (IQR). *$P < 0.05$ versus placebo.*
Simvastatin Decreases Pulmonary and Systemic LPS-induced CRP Production

Simvastatin inhibited the increase in BALF CRP after LPS challenge (P = 0.01; Figure 6A). In addition, the increase in plasma CRP after LPS challenge was significantly attenuated by pretreatment with simvastatin (P = 0.03; Figure 6B).

Simvastatin Decreases LPS-induced NF-κB Translocation in Macrophages

Stimulation of MDMs with BALF from LPS-challenged subjects induced nuclear translocation of NF-κB by approximately threefold (P < 0.001). BALF from subjects pretreated with simvastatin induced significantly less nuclear translocation of NF-κB. Pretreatment of MDMs with 5 μM simvastatin for 4 hours, followed by stimulation with BALF from the placebo group, also attenuated the induction of nuclear NF-κB (Figure 7; P < 0.001).

DISCUSSION

Several studies have documented the beneficial effects of statins in vitro and in vivo in experimental animal models of pulmonary inflammation (11–13). This is the first study to investigate the effects of a statin in vivo on LPS-induced pulmonary inflammation in humans. Simvastatin was found to have several antiinflammatory effects. In particular, there was a reduction in neutrophil numbers and activity in the alveolar space that is mediated, at least in part, by increased neutrophil apoptosis. We also demonstrated reduced alveolar concentrations of TNF-α and MMP-7, 8, and 9, and showed a reduction in both BALF and plasma CRP. We propose that, in addition to the reduction in neutrophil numbers and activity, these effects are also mediated, in part, by reduced macrophage NF-κB nuclear translocation in the presence of simvastatin.

Reflecting findings in ALI, the inhalation of nebulized LPS in the healthy human results in a local alveolar inflammation characterized by neutrophilic influx and an increase in proinflammatory cytokines in BALF as well as an associated systemic inflammatory response (20–22). Furthermore, macrophages have been identified as a major source of inflammatory proteins in response to inhaled LPS in the human lung (20) and respond vigorously to LPS in vitro (33–35).

In this study, simvastatin reduced the number of neutrophils in the lungs after LPS inhalation. It is likely that the reduction of pulmonary neutrophils is related to both reduced influx and increased apoptosis. Interestingly, we demonstrated a reduction in BALF MMP-7 in response to simvastatin. MMP-7 has been shown to be necessary to create the chemokine gradient required for neutrophil influx to the lung in animal models of ALI (36). We have also shown that simvastatin increased neutrophil apoptosis in the alveolar compartment. This is the first description of an increase in pulmonary neutrophil apoptosis in vivo in humans in response to statin treatment. In contrast to necrosis, neutrophil apoptosis limits inflammation. During apoptosis, neutrophil secretory processes are shut down, and the intact senescent neutrophil is removed by macrophages. As this process does not trigger the release of proinflammatory mediators, there is decreased alveolar inflammation (37). This data is in keeping with data showing that statins induce neutrophil apoptosis (40) and plasma CRP has been suggested to have a role in the delayed neutrophil apoptosis seen in cystic fibrosis (41). Importantly, decreased pulmonary neutrophilia occurred along with increased neutrophil apoptosis. This
indicates that the induction of neutrophil apoptosis by simvastatin does not overcome the capacity to clear apoptotic cells. This is in keeping with data that statins augment clearance of apoptotic neutrophils (42). Whereas apoptosis measurement by annexin V and propidium iodide staining is unique in that it specifically allows the identification of early- and late-stage apoptosis as well as necrotic cells, a limitation of this data is that only a single method to measure neutrophil apoptosis was used, which may not be regarded as definitive.

Furthermore, there was decreased neutrophilic inflammation as measured by decreased BALF MPO and MMP-8, which is secreted only by neutrophils. In addition, NEα1-AT complex was decreased, although this failed to reach statistical significance. These findings extend previous findings from experimental animal models in which simvastatin decreased pulmonary neutrophilia and neutrophil activity in LPS (11), and ischemia reperfusion (12, 43) induced pulmonary inflammation.

Simvastatin reduced the release of MMP-7, 8, and 9 induced by LPS inhalation without any reduction in TIMP-1 and TIMP-2. The decrease in unopposed protease activity mediated by MMP concentrations will reduce matrix degradation and resulting epithelial and endothelial injury. In our in vitro experiments (see online supplement) statins inhibited only LPS-induced MMP secretion by macrophages. Broad spectrum MMP inhibition has attenuated acute lung inflammation in acute experimental models (46). This is the first study to find that statins reduce MMP production associated with pulmonary inflammation in vivo, although statins have been shown to reduce MMP-7 (47) and MMP-9 (8, 9, 48) secretion by human monocyte-derived macrophages in vitro.

As well as reducing pulmonary inflammation, pretreatment with simvastatin was found to decrease the systemic inflammatory responses induced by LPS inhalation as measured by plasma CRP. This is in keeping with a previous report that demonstrated pretreatment with simvastatin decreased the systemic increase in CRP induced by intravenous administration of LPS (19). The current data extend these findings to confirm that simvastatin has a systemic antiinflammatory effect following an insult initiated in the pulmonary compartment. This has potential implications for the treatment of ALI, given that pneumonia is one of the most common initiating stimuli in the development of ALI and that an associated excessive systemic inflammatory response is implicated in the development of multiple organ failure associated with ALI (6).

LPS stimulates the induction of several inflammatory genes via the NF-κB pathway (49), and inhaled LPS is known to cause BALF after intratracheal administration of LPS in mice (44). TNF-α is characteristically induced in, and secreted by, LPS-stimulated alveolar macrophages (33, 35) and is NF-κB–dependent (45).

Simvastatin also reduced the release of MMP-7, 8, and 9 induced by LPS inhalation without any reduction in TIMP-1 and TIMP-2. The decrease in unopposed protease activity mediated by MMP concentrations will reduce matrix degradation and resulting epithelial and endothelial injury. In our in vitro experiments (see online supplement) statins inhibited only LPS-induced MMP secretion by macrophages. Broad spectrum MMP inhibition has attenuated acute lung inflammation in acute experimental models (46). This is the first study to find that statins reduce MMP production associated with pulmonary inflammation in vivo, although statins have been shown to reduce MMP-7 (47) and MMP-9 (8, 9, 48) secretion by human monocyte-derived macrophages in vitro.
of NF-κB was examined; therefore, a kinetic analysis of the effect of simvastatin on pulmonary inflammatory mediators is not possible. Previous studies (20, 22, 51) have demonstrated both neutrophil recruitment and inflammatory protein release 6 hours after LPS inhalation. Given the relatively invasive nature of bronchoscopy and BAL it was not possible, for ethical reasons, to extend the number of time-points. Plasma samples were obtained at 24 hours and did show decreased CRP levels in simvastatin-treated subjects. Whereas an additional control arm using inhaled saline would have demonstrated the inflammatory effect of LPS in normal subjects, as this model to induce alveolar inflammation was already well documented (20, 22), and as the aim of our study was to investigate the effect of simvastatin on alveolar inflammation in vivo, from a scientific and ethical point of view we did not feel it was necessary to include an inhaled saline group as an additional control arm in this study. Although we have postulated that decreased macrophage NF-κB activation is a potential mechanism for the antiinflammatory effects of simvastatin, we did not investigate other potential mechanisms, such as transcriptional regulators other than NF-κB or modulation of adhesion molecule expression. In this study we investigated the effects of pretreatment with simvastatin before LPS inhalation. Although statin therapy may be potentially useful as a preventative strategy, additional studies are required to determine if simvastatin has antiinflammatory effects in the lung if administered after the inflammatory process has already been initiated. Furthermore, as the mode of action among statins is similar, and pleiotropic antiinflammatory actions have been demonstrated for most statins in vitro (52), it is likely that these effects will be similar within this group of drugs; however, further studies are needed to confirm this.

Pretreatment with 80 mg simvastatin for 4 days decreased the systemic inflammation induced by intravenous administration of LPS (19); therefore, based on this data, the current study used a pretreatment for 4 days. As a preventative strategy for patients at risk of ALI, such a short pretreatment course would be practical. More recent data indicate a single dose of 80 mg of simvastatin can reduce CRP levels in patients with stable angina within 48 hours (53). However, it is uncertain if a beneficial effect on pulmonary inflammation could be achieved with a shorter duration of pretreatment than 4 days. In addition, based on previous data (19) and animal data comparing 5 or 20 mg/kg of simvastatin where only the higher dose was effective in attenuating lung injury (11), 80 mg of simvastatin was used to obtain proof of the principle of effect. However, in an attempt to determine the effect of a lower dose, we also examined 40 mg of simvastatin. We found that the 40 and 80-mg groups did not differ, although the study was not powered to identify a difference in inflammatory mediators between the two simvastatin groups. Interestingly, there are data demonstrating a similar reduction of CRP in patients with angina using 20 and 40 mg of pravastatin despite a dose-dependent effect in cholesterol (54). This suggests that whereas the cholesterol-lowering effects are dose related, the antiinflammatory effects may be dose independent and might occur at lower doses, although this remains to be proven. In contrast in the only animal study that compared two doses of simvastatin (5 or 20 mg/kg), only the higher dose was effective in attenuating lung injury (11).

Two ongoing phase 2 clinical trials are investigating the prevention (http://www.controlled-trials.com/ISRCTN56543987) and treatment (http://www.controlled-trials.com/ISRCTN70127774) of acute lung injury.
of ALI with 80 mg of simvastatin. These studies will provide evidence of whether 80 mg of simvastatin is safe and effective at improving important surrogate clinical outcomes. Taken together with the findings from our small study, which was unable to demonstrate a difference between the 40-mg and 80-mg simvastatin groups, and in addition, recent data showing that after a single 20-mg dose of atorvastatin very high plasma concentrations were achieved in intensive care patients with sepsis (55), as the adverse effects associated with statins are dose dependent, subsequent studies will be required to determine which dose will be effective while minimizing adverse effects. Several groups, including the National Institutes of Health–sponsored Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome network and the Irish Critical Care Trials group, are currently considering undertaking multicenter studies of statins in ALI to address these issues.

In conclusion, this double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study provides the first proof of principle that simvastatin has important antiinflammatory effects in the pulmonary compartment in humans challenged with aerosolized endotoxin by reducing both neutrophilic and macrophage inflammation. This human study supports the hypothesis that pretreatment with simvastatin might be of benefit in dampening the excessive pulmonary and systemic inflammation associated with ALI. On the basis of these data, as well as other published studies of experimental lung injury, more studies are needed to determine if the use of simvastatin and other statins after the onset of lung inflammation has the same effect as pretreatment with statins. Studies are also needed to determine the effectiveness of other statins on lung inflammation.
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