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The purpose of this study was to determine whether hemodynamic and pharmacologic factors can influence the extent and severity of myocardial necrosis produced by coronary occlusion. In 48 dogs, 10 to 14 epicardial leads were recorded on the anterior surface of the left ventricle in the distribution and vicinity of the site of occlusion of a branch of the left anterior descending coronary artery. The average S-T segment elevation for each animal was determined at 5-min intervals after occlusion. This elevation was used as an index of the presence and severity of myocardial ischemic injury. Isoproterenol, ouabain, glucagon, bretylium, and tachycardia given prior to a repeated occlusion each increased the severity and extent of ischemic injury, while propranolol decreased it. Elevation of arterial pressure with methoxamine reduced the occlusion-induced S-T segment elevation, and lowering of the mean arterial pressure by hemorrhage had the opposite effect. In 19 additional experiments, propranolol, isoproterenol, and alterations in arterial pressure produced similar alterations in S-T segment elevation when these interventions were applied as long as 3 hr after ligation. Myocardial creatine phosphokinase (CPK) activity determined 24 hr after coronary artery ligation correlated well with S-T segment elevation at the same sites recorded 15 min after ligation. Moreover, isoproterenol increased and propranolol decreased the area of depression of myocardial CPK activity. We conclude that the hemodynamic status and neurohumoral background at the time of coronary occlusion and for at least 3 hr thereafter can alter the extent and severity of myocardial ischemic injury and myocardial necrosis.

FROM 1955 to 1968, I trained and then led a research program in the intramural program of the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute in Bethesda, Maryland, that focused on elucidating the determinants of cardiac oxygen consumption. We found that myocardial tension develop-
Improvement of coronary perfusion, when effected promptly after a patient has been brought to a hospital, might potentially reduce the ultimate size of the infarction.4

During the decade that followed, and building on the pioneering work of Eugene Chazov, M.D., Professor of Cardiology and Director of the Myasnikov Heart Institute in Moscow,5 and Peter Rentrop, M.D., Assistant Professor of Medicine at the University of Gottingen, Germany,6 and their collaborators, the intracoronary infusion of streptokinase in patients was used increasingly in the treatment of acute MI. Our own efforts were devoted to the demonstration, using imaging after the intracoronary infusion of 201thallium, that timely administration of intracoronary fibrinolytic therapy could protect severely ischemic myocardium from ischemic cell death.7 We then showed that early and sustained reperfusion with intravenous fibrinolytic agents improved survival of patients with evolving MI. This led to our proposal of the “open artery theory.”8 In accord with this theory, the preferred treatment of evolving MI is immediate revascularization.

More recently, our group has demonstrated that the addition of the thienopyridine clopidogrel to aspirin improves coronary patency and clinical outcome in acute MI patients treated with both direct and indirect plasminogen activators.9 Also, we have obtained evidence that the low-molecular-weight heparin, enoxaparin, with its balanced anti-Xa and -IIa activities, reduces the composite endpoint of death and recurrent MI in patients receiving fibrinolytic therapy.10

In the mid-1980s, as the benefits of early coronary revascularization in the treatment of acute MI became more widely appreciated, we began to direct our attention to improving the fate of patients who had survived an acute MI but who were still at risk of subsequent death and heart failure. With Marc Pfeffer, M.D., Ph.D., and Janice Pfeffer, Ph.D., Assistant Professors of Medicine at Harvard Medical School and the Brigham and Women’s Hospital, we demonstrated the deleterious long-term consequences of ventricular remodeling that follows experimental MI in rats and showed that this remodeling could be markedly reduced by angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibition.11 These findings were then applied to patients in the Survival and Ventricular Enlargement trial, which showed that angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibition reduced long-term mortality in infarct patients with impaired left ventricular function.12 This (then) novel therapeutic approach was subsequently confirmed in many trials and has been widely adopted worldwide.

Most recently, our group demonstrated in the Pravastatin or Atorvastatin Evaluation and Infection Therapy (PROVE-IT)–Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction 22 trial that reducing low-density lipoprotein cholesterol with a β-hydroxy-β-methylglutaryl–coenzyme A reductase inhibitor (statin) to very low levels (average = 65 mg/dl) in patients after acute MI and other acute coronary syndromes significantly improved clinical outcome compared with less vigorous reduction of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol.13 Based in large part on this trial, the US guidelines for management of these patients have been modified, and a lower target for low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (70 mg/dl) is now recommended.

Concluding Comments

Looking back on our research on MI, now spanning more than half a century, I am struck by the value of using a wide spectrum of techniques to attack important problems in medicine. My colleagues and I have, at various times, studied isolated hearts, intact animals, individual patients, and, most recently, large groups of patients in “mega” trials. It is important, I believe, in research not to become the slave of any particular technique, but to use whatever approach is required to solve the problem at hand. In my case, the “problem” has been the excessive morbidity and mortality after acute MI.

My work has been relevant to several medical specialties, including anesthesiology. As the population ages, so does the prevalence of ischemic heart disease in patients undergoing surgery (cardiac and noncardiac). Therefore, the need for anesthesiologists to maintain a favorable balance between myocardial oxygen supply and demand...
is of growing importance. Anesthesiologists can do relatively little to improve myocardial oxygen supply but can certainly influence oxygen demand, and its three principal determinants—tension development (afterload), contractility, and heart rate.\(^1\)

An increasing fraction of patients are coming to operation while receiving drugs that we found to be useful in our studies—\(\beta\) blockers\(^2\) and angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors.\(^1\) Although enormously beneficial in preventing the maladaptive consequences of neurohormonal stimulation, these agents also reduce the patients’ normal responses to hypovolemia and other hypotensive stimuli. Here again, anesthesiologists play a critical role in anticipating and minimizing hemodynamic instability. Finally, an increasing number of patients are also coming to the operating room on an urgent basis while taking antiplatelet agents, especially aspirin and clopidogrel, that are of value in the management of acute coronary syndromes.\(^9\) These patients are at risk of serious intraoperative and early postoperative hemorrhage. Anesthesiologists must be prepared to anticipate and deal with this excess risk as well.
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